

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,761	05/26/2004	Ming-Chien Chiu	-	3760
43995 7:	590 05/09/2005		EXAMINER	
GUDENG PRECISION INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.			MATHEWS, ALAN A	
2F-4, NO. 148, SEC. 4, CHUNG HSIAO EAST ROAD TAIPEI,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN			2851	

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Xk				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/709,761	CHIU, MING-CHIEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alan A. Mathews	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statused in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statused in the period for reply within the set or extended	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONIII, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>14 March 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the I 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the second of the secon	s/are: a) accepted or b) objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand be correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been of all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	)-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/709,761 Page 2

. Art Unit: 2851

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The substitute specification filed March 14, 2005, has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c) because: Applicant has not made a statement that the substitute specification includes no new matter. If Applicant resubmits the substitute specification and the marked-up copy of the substitute specification and includes a statement (in his REMARKS) that the substitute specification includes no new matter, the problem would be resolved.
- 2. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: In paragraph # 5, "the protrusion 102 is subject to friction with the Chromium (Cr) deposition on the bottom surface of the protrusion 102" is unclear. In paragraph # 5, "Wherein the Chromium (Cr) deposition on the bottom surface is extremely precise circuit protecting shroud layer so jointwith a jacket membrane 201a to stop the dust particle off the focus of photomask 201" is unclear. In addition, there are numerous ideomatic errors in the specification. If Applicant resubmits the substitute specification filed March 16, 2005 (but not entered) along

Application/Control Number: 10/709,761 Page 3

Art Unit: 2851

with the marked up copy of the substitute specification and a statement that the substitute specification includes no new matter, the problem would be resolved.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamauchi (U. S. Patent No. 5,353,934) in view of either Matsumura (U. S. Patent No. 5,823,736). Yamauchi discloses in figure 1 and column 3, lines 15 54, an apparatus 1 for holding a substrate of a photomask 5. A plurality of protrusions 6 (with caps 7) are formed on photomask holder 3. Column 3, line 26, discloses that the protrusion 6 is tapered (cambered). Thus, Yamauchi discloses the invention except for disclosing through holes in the holder, with the protrusions in the through holes. Matsumura discloses in figure 3 and column 3, lines 25-67, and column 4, lines 1-57, through holes 31, with pins 32 extending there through. The pins 32 would be detachable. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide Yamauchi with through holes for the protrusions 6 for the purpose easier construction and more flexibility in using the apparatus.

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are allowed. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The reasons for the indicated allowability of the claims are as follows:

The prior art does not disclose or suggest wherein each protrusion is comprised of a PEEK or VESPEL material; and wherein a photomask is disposed on the supporting ridges so that a surface contact area and friction between the supporting ridges and chromium deposition formed at a bottom surface of the photomask are substantially reduced so that generation of particulates due to friction between the protrusions and a chromium deposition formed at a bottom surface of the photomask is substantially reduced in combination with all the other elements recited in independent claim 1.

The prior art does not disclose or suggest wherein pedestal formed far away from each supporting ridge is detachably integrated into the through holes of the photomask holder in combination with all the other elements recited in the parent claim of dependent claim 6.

### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan A. Mathews whose telephone number is (571) 272-2123. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,761

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alan A. Mathews Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851 Page 6

AM